## Case 1:13-cr-00811-ALC Document 307 Filed 08/11/15 Page 1 of 46

F7q4AvaS 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 V. 13 CR 811 5 MARTIN AVALO, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. July 16, 2015 9 2:40 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR., 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA 16 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 17 RUSSELL CAPONE Assistant United States Attorney 18 DAVID GREENFIELD 19 BENNETT M. FEITELL Attorneys for Defendant 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 (In open court) 2 (Case called) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your 3 4 appearance. For the government? 5 MR. CAPONE: Russell Capone for the government. Good 6 afternoon, your Honor. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: And for the defendant? 8 MR. GREENFIELD: Good afternoon, your Honor. David 9 Greenfield and Bennett Feitell for the defendant, Martin Avalo. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Avalo. 12 Are the parties prepared for sentencing today? 13 MR. GREENFIELD: We are, your Honor. 14 MR. CAPONE: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. To the extent that I did not already do so, I accept the plea of quilty that was offered on 16 17 November 10, 2014. In preparation for today's sentence, I have reviewed 18 19 the presentence report, a letter submitted by Mr. Avalo, a 20 sentencing submission from defense counsel, as well as a 21 submission from the government. 22 Is there anything else that I should have? 23 MR. GREENFIELD: Not that I'm aware of. 24 MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, have you read the

25

```
presentence report and discussed it with your client?
1
 2
               MR. GREENFIELD: Numerous occasions, Judge.
               THE COURT: Mr. Avalo, have you read the presentence
 3
 4
      report and discussed it with your attorney?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6
               THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to go over
 7
     with him any errors in the report or anything else that should
     be taken up with me?
8
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
               THE COURT: Counsel for the government, have you
11
      reviewed the presentence report?
12
               MR. CAPONE: I have, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. Are there any objections to the
14
      report regarding factual accuracy?
15
               MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.
16
               MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor, but I do have
17
      something to say about the guideline calculation.
18
               THE COURT: Before you get to that, is there any
      objection to the guidelines calculation in the presentence
19
20
      report?
21
               MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.
22
               MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Is there objection to anything else in the
24
     presentence report?
25
               MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.
```

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Although I'm no longer required to following the sentencing guidelines, I'm still required to consider the applicable guidelines in opposing sentence, and to do so, it is necessary that we accurately calculate the guideline sentencing range.

I have done my own independent evaluation of the guidelines, as well, and I agree with the guideline calculation that's set forth in the presentence report. So based on my own independent calculation, as well as the lack of any objection by either party, I will adopt the guideline range as set forth in the presentence report, total offense level of 23 with criminal history category V, resulting in a guideline range of 84 to 105 months.

MR. GREENFIELD: Your Honor, if I might --

THE COURT: Before you do that, let me also deal with one other matter. Let me make sure, Mr. Avalo, are you prepared to go forward with sentencing today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you had any alcoholic beverages, drugs, or medication of any kind?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel.

MR. GREENFIELD: Judge, with respect to the government's submission to the Court about the finding of category V, in it, on the bottom of page 1, it says, "Avalo does not dispute the fact that he falls within category V, and the plea agreement does not limit the government to argue for a sentence within the guidelines." That is all true.

Between myself and Mr. Masimore we reached an agreement that the sentencing guideline criminal history category should be IX, not the number XI that they're talking about now. And in order to try to deal with the difference between what was in the plea agreement at IX and what the pretrial report says should be XI, I initiated a series of phone calls between myself and Mr. Masimore, going back to January of this year, and I brought it to his attention that I thought the guidelines overstated the seriousness of his criminal history.

He said, look, I haven't had a chance to look at it yet. Obviously, these are not quotes, but they're the substance of the conversation. I said, all right, get a chance to look at it and get back to me. And I initiated three, four, maybe five more phone calls, and always the same thing.

I haven't had a chance to look at it yet. He also said that it is the policy of the office usually to stand by their agreement. So he couldn't see, based on what I said about these two misdemeanors that occurred in 2013, which ended

in violation pleas should kick his criminal history category from 70 to 84, on the minimum side. That's a 14-year kick for two pleas to a misdemeanor within a month sometime in 2013.

I was becoming alarmed by this, Judge. I hadn't heard from Mr. Masimore. Finally, the end of June, I called his office, got a voice mail message that he was in Italy; if something was important enough, please call.

I called him. The same conversation occurred. He would get back to me. He never did. There's never been a resolution.

I made the mistake -- that he shouldn't be punished for -- I made the mistake to believe that collegiality still existed and that when somebody tells me that there is basically a policy that they will live by their plea agreement, I figured I could file my sentencing memo without referring to that, and I hoped that it would be resolved before we came before your Honor. But it hasn't been. And I think that the finding of 84 to the high end is totally unfair to my client based on his criminal record. If I can, I will go over it with you.

THE COURT: Wait. Before you do that, let me just state some things for the record. I am not supposed to get into any sort of plea negotiations between the government and the defense. Any other negotiations the government and the defense want to have in terms of positions they want to take at sentencing, again, is something that is up to them.

I would consider.

Even to the extent that the defense and the government were to agree as to what the appropriate guideline range would be, that would not be binding on me nor it would be binding on the probation department, as was made clear at the time

Mr. Avalo pled guilty. There was no promise at that time as to what his guideline range would be, nor is there a promise as to what his sentence would be. Those factors would be things that

I understand, I suppose, defense counsel's frustration regarding any potential miscommunications and breakdown in negotiations between defense counsel and the government, but in terms of the guidelines, which are advisory, they're not binding on the Court anymore, I have the discretion to, once I have determined the guideline range, as I have, to sentence Mr. Avalo within or outside of that guideline range, and I can do so either based on what was commonly called in the past downward departures or a sentencing variance, as sometimes it is called. In any event, considering all of the factors in 18 U.S.C. 3553, which is what I need to be concerned with now, that we have established the guideline range that applies, the nonbinding guidelines range that applies.

I understand defense counsel's position to be that it would be unfair to sentence him as if he were within sentencing criminal history category V. I understand that. I take that argument to be, as expressed in the letter, an argument for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sentencing variance as opposed to a downward departure. I believe the parties may have an agreement amongst themselves. I don't know if they do or do not. It's typically the case that parties have agreements not to seek downward departures. That certainly doesn't prevent the Court from sua sponte considering downward departures.

I have seen what defense counsel has submitted regarding the criminal history category in this case, and I have also done my own evaluation of his criminal history category, and I need to, under Second Circuit authority, give the parties notice that I am considering a downward departure based on the criminal history category substantially overstating the seriousness of his criminal record. Obviously, those are factors that I consider as a sentencing variance, as well, outside of the earlier sentencing departure regime. for a departure, if it is not something that was laid out in the parties' submissions -- and again, looking at the submissions, it did not appear to be laid out as a request for a downward departure -- I need to give the parties notice that I'm considering that. It doesn't mean I'm going to do that. But I need to give the parties notice of that under Second Circuit authority so the parties can discuss whether or not they wish to proceed or whether or not they wish to have some time to think about this before we continue.

I guess initially let me ask the government, do you

F7g4AvaS

need more time to proceed or do you need more time to think about whether you need more time to proceed? If you would like, I can take a five-minute recess, and you can let me know if you need more time before we continue, and I will ask the same question of defense counsel.

MR. CAPONE: I don't think we need more time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense counsel?

MR. GREENFIELD: Is it you are considering a downward departure at this time?

THE COURT: Yes, but I didn't way I was going to do it, but --

MR. GREENFIELD: We are ready to proceed, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now that I have raised that sua sponte and given the parties notice that I am considering that, let me hear — let me just share what my thoughts are regarding this. Mr. Avalo is in criminal history category V. My considering a downward departure is not contingent on or predicated on whatever analysis the parties may have had in terms of the plea agreement, because as I have said before, anything in that plea agreement regarding the parties' guideline estimate is not binding on the probation department or the Court. Again, we can move beyond I believe any of these arguments about negotiations between the parties and all of these other things.

Let me just hear from the parties on the proprietary

of a downward departure, starting with counsel for the defense.

MR. GREENFIELD: Judge, if I might, the criminal history of my client begins in 1997. As an 18-year-old, he was arrest for the sale of marijuana. He was sentenced to probation and then sentenced to jail because he was in violation of his probation. He received three points for that on that plea in 1997, 1998.

Next, he is arrested November 28th of 2001 for a street sale of \$20 worth of cocaine. For that, he received three more points and five years in jail, basically.

From that point on, at the age of 22, in jail for maybe five years or so, maybe more, maybe up to six, he was never arrested again until 2013, and he was arrested over a period of three separate days in May and June of 2013, each for misdemeanor weight marijuana, which were disposed of by violation pleas in criminal court where he received a conditional discharge and a \$50 fine.

The government makes him a hardened criminal based on his criminal record at this point. I'm saying, based not only on his life, the problems that he's faced in his life, his addiction, his abuse as a child for sexual abuse, that the fact that the probation department moved him over and the Court has agreed to move it over to category V, that it makes it a 14-month jump absent those misdemeanor — or not even misdemeanor arrests — conditional discharge pleas in 2013. If

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

they weren't there, he'd be clearly in category IX. What puts him in category XI are three misdemeanor pleas.

THE COURT: Just to be clear, when you are talking about category IX and XI, I assume what you're talking about --

MR. GREENFIELD: Criminal history category --

THE COURT: -- 11 points, which would have him criminal history category V and 9 points that would have him criminal history category IV?

MR. GREENFIELD: Correct.

It overstates the seriousness of his criminal conduct. The two major matters occurred before he was 22 years old, in 1997 and 2001. After that he had a good work record. When he got out of jail, he had a good work record. You have tax returns for the years 2010 '11, and '12, and it is indicated in paragraph 150 of the probation report. He had work release while in prison, and he worked two years while in prison. The only reason he was not working after that is he lost his job because of a layoff in a non-union situation.

THE COURT: Tell me specifically which convictions it is that you believe substantially overstate the seriousness of his criminal record?

MR. GREENFIELD: There were two. There were three arrests but two. In May and June of 2013 for marijuana.

THE COURT: And why is it that you feel those overstate the seriousness, substantially overstate the

seriousness of his criminal record or the likelihood that he would re-offend because, obviously, under the case law I am also supposed to consider that, as well, the likelihood that he would re-offend.

MR. GREENFIELD: There is no issue but that he is a drug abuser. His drug abuse started at 11, when he was misusing, if I can use that phrase, prescription drugs. He started using marijuana at the age 12. He became addicted, if that's the right word, to marijuana soon thereafter. He smoked marijuana basically after the day of his arrest, and he abused legal substances such as Codeine, Oxycontin, and other legal substances.

The arrests were because of his addiction. He was out there trying to earn a few dollars for himself so he could buy some drugs. That's what he was out there for, Judge. He wasn't out there as a burglar. He wasn't out there as a seller of large amounts of drugs. He was selling small amounts, misdemeanor amounts on the street, and that's what he was arrested for. Adding 14 months to his prospective minimum sentence I don't think is appropriate.

THE COURT: So the record is clear, the guidelines are advisory. The guidelines are advisory, they're not mandatory.

MR. GREENFIELD: I understand that, Judge.

THE COURT: What I'm concerned about in terms of those last two convictions that you're referencing, it very well may

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be that his criminal history category V substantially overstates the seriousness of his criminal record or that he may re-offend. I don't know about those last two doing that. Those last two, to me, don't seem to indicate a likelihood that he was not going to re-offend when he is 33 years old, caught in May of 2013, with ten bags of marijuana in the left pocket of his cargo pants at 1:30 in the afternoon. I don't think that it has anything to do in terms of -- it shows a likelihood that he wouldn't re-offend or that it would be unfair to count a conviction in June, late June of 2013, when he was 34 years old. Although he was convicted for possession of marijuana, in paragraph 115, it indicates that, at 1:40 a.m., in the morning, he was stopped while operating a vehicle with excessive window tints. So he was very fortunate that he was simply arrested for possession of marijuana, because according to the facts of that, it seems that he was perhaps driving under the influence of an intoxicant or an inebriant in any situation. Again, he is 33 and 34 at the time those took place. Those, to me, are not the convictions I was thinking about that might be substantially overstating the seriousness of his criminal record. I was more concerned with his earliest conviction, and while that is certainly a serious offense, in which he was found in possession of 10 pounds of marijuana, that took place when he was 18 years old, following not too far after a youthful offender adjudication that he had for also selling

drugs, selling crack cocaine, but there is a difference there in terms of the crimes committed. It was more perhaps unfortunately understandable that at the age of 17 he didn't learn his lesson when the court was very lenient with him and gave him youthful offender treatment in terms of the convictions. The other convictions, when he is in his thirties and he is selling marijuana and driving around under the influence of marijuana, those seem like more serious concerns to me.

Is there anything you want to add to what you have said?

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me hear from counsel for the government.

MR. CAPONE: Your Honor, I don't agree that the conviction at the age of 18 is one that should lead the Court to depart. I understand he was 18 years old, but it is the most serious of his offenses short of this one. It's 10 pounds of marijuana. That is not a street-level sale. That is trafficking in a significant quantity of drugs, more so than some of these other convictions. And this is also an offense that he then violated probation for. That's why he has more points, actually. It looks like he didn't get a significant jail sentence for it. It would have only been one point in spite of the fact it was — sorry, that's the first one that he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

violated his probation on. In any event, it is a significant quantity of marijuana.

In terms of the likelihood of re-offense, there is a long history of criminal activity from the age of 17 to the present, to the year 2013, that I think should give the Court significant concern. There is a conviction in '96. There is a serious conviction in '97. There is a conviction in 2001. There is a gap until 2013 that Mr. Greenfield refers to, but from 2001 until 2010, he was either in inpatient treatment or in jail for a good part of that. That explains why he is not continuing to commit crimes. From 2010 to 2012, when Mr. Greenfield stresses his employment and doing good things during that time period, the current offenses are smack in the middle of that time period. And again, more crimes in 2013. He has two parole violations, including for the current offense, he was committing this crime while on parole. While concededly these are not violent crimes except for the marijuana actually, these are not huge quantities of narcotics, there is a long pattern of criminal activity and of not being deterred despite being sentenced, spending time in jail, not being deterred while on parole.

While I don't dispute that your Honor can take all of this into account in terms of 3553(a), I don't think we are in a departure circumstance here.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Let me find out if the parties have any information regarding the bench warrant that was issued back on September 15, 1998, when he was on probation for that criminal possession of marijuana in the first in Queens for the 10 pounds of marijuana. I notice that it doesn't appear that he was arrested on a warrant because he picked up another case so to speak. It may be, based on what is in the presentence report and the information about his addiction that perhaps there was a bench warrant issued because he tested positive for drugs, but I don't know if the parties have any information about that.

MR. CAPONE: I don't, your Honor. You may be right because he, obviously, had already pleaded and been sentenced at that point. So it is unlikely a failure-to-appear type of bench warrant.

MR. GREENFIELD: He tested positive for the drug test when he went to see the probation people, and then he didn't go back to court.

THE COURT: It does seem to me -- and I want to hear if the government has anything to add again -- that earliest conviction -- and even though I understand it follows a youthful offender adjudication -- it seems from the presentence report that when he was arrested on December 4, 1996, at the age of 17, and got that youthful offender adjudication, that he was sentenced on March 24, 1997 to a year. My belief is

certainly at that time -- I think it is still the case -- in

New York State if you're sentenced to a year in custody, you do

what is known as the city time and do two-thirds of that time

in jail, which means he would have done eight months in

custody, would have been released sometime in August of 1997,

and then perhaps -- and obviously, if he did that, did that

eight months in custody, was not going to be receiving any sort

of drug treatment at that time or getting any sort of help with

the addiction, and then shortly after that, he has this other

again serious offense but it seems as if he is doing so

definitely in the midst of his addiction to marijuana at that

time.

Now, again, I'm more concerned with the offenses that took place after that because it does seem that at that point after that conviction he is certainly given probation and I'm assuming given the opportunity for drug treatment, and it seems from this perhaps relapse -- again, I don't have all those facts in front of me -- certainly would have been given the opportunity for drug treatment, it didn't work. Sometimes that happens. It usually doesn't work necessarily the first time. I'm not trying to minimize the significance of the 10 pounds of marijuana, but it does seem to me at that time, at the age of 17, doing eight months in jail, getting out without having any treatment and then still dealing with this addiction, and based on the trauma that he experienced as a child, it is certainly

not something that the Court would condone, but the Court understands and it does seem that that is something that substantially overstates the seriousness of his criminal record, those three points for that.

Again, the subsequent convictions I don't have those same concerns because then he had an opportunity to receive some drug treatment and had an opportunity to have been convicted of a felony instead of just a youthful offender adjudication and didn't seemingly learn his lesson when he was 22 years old. I'm certainly not convinced that these other convictions when he was in his thirties represent an indication that there was not a likelihood of re-offending. So I am inclined to horizontally depart under 4A1.3 for those three points for the crime listed in paragraph 104, which would take him from 11 criminal history points to 8 criminal history points, which would take him to criminal history category IV, but let me hear from the government if there is anything else you want to say.

MR. CAPONE: Well, your Honor, I understand your ruling. I don't necessarily agree that whether he was addicted to drugs at the time or at the time of any of the offenses, I don't know that that goes to the seriousness of his record. It is part of the picture of who he is, but I don't know that it undermines the seriousness of the record. So I will just note my objection for that purpose.

THE COURT: Anything else from the defense on this?

THE COURT: I will horizontally depart one criminal

Let me hear from the parties regarding any other

2

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

3

4

history category to criminal history category IV, for a total

5

criminal history point value of 8.

6

issues they wish to raise, starting with defense counsel.

8

7

MR. GREENFIELD: I will try to keep it as brief as

9

possible. Yes, your Honor.

10

11

work history during that period of time, I'm going to just now

Without going over his criminal record again and his

Quickly, I don't even know the number of burglaries

12

address his role quickly in the offense and also go into what  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

13

believe are significant 3553(a) factors that should be

14

considered for variance purposes.

certainly not a master mind of this ring.

15

that were involved in this, but I know it was a great number.

1617

My client was involved in six of them. He was not a planner,

18

organizer, manager. He didn't set any of them up. He didn't

19

dispose of the pharmaceuticals that were taken. In fact, he

20

used them whenever he got his hands on them. Basically, he is

21

that, somebody who got involved in criminal conduct, but

22

To a degree, obviously, people are sentenced because of the crimes they commit and to a degree they should be

24

25

punished for that. Actually, I was watching TV two days ago

and I heard the president discuss before the national convention of the NAACP what he believed were some of the problems with the criminal justice system, and he talked about over sentencing. He talked about the lack of vocational training. He talked about the lack of drug rehabilitation, and he talked about education. But more surprisingly yesterday, when I was preparing for this at home, I had the TV on, and up popped former President Clinton, and he talked about what he believed was the failure of the Criminal Activity Act of 1994. He considered it an absolute failure, his words. He thought that the excessive sentencings were wrong, his word.

Now, why did he do that? And he explained it. He said the reason I say it is wrong, the reason I say the whole criminal justice system needs retooling -- my word, not his -- is we, in 1994, meaning the Democrats, were in a situation where we politically were getting our butts kicked year and year after because we were quote/unquote soft on crime. That is why that act was enabled in Congress. Those were his words again. He said we were wrong, we shouldn't have done it, and we have to do something about it, and we have to do it now. He was talking about sentencing and the excessive sentences that are -- I wouldn't say mandated -- they are obviously not mandated anymore -- but that are being given out and have been given out in the past.

Let's talk about my client now. You read in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probation report about, growing up as a little child, he was the victim of repeated sexual abuse by neighbors. His father was at work around the country. His mother was at work. left him with the neighbors for care, and he was abused. brought it ultimately -- I don't know when and where, and it is hard to talk with him about that because it is a hard subject to talk about -- but he obviously at one point told his father what happened. And rather than react favorably, his father didn't believe him and beat him. So that is a kid who is between four and eight years old, that's happening to him. It's got to affect him somehow. Does it make him a criminal back then? No, obviously, that is not what happens. But God only knows at this point where his head was at because he was rejected by his family, if he was telling the truth, rejected by his family when he was being abused. That is a hard thing for a child under eight, seven, six, five, or four to comprehend.

At the age of 11, he was involved in a bike accident. He was hospitalized for a long period of time. He became medicated, overmedicated, and he became a drug abuser at that point. Between the beginning of his use of marijuana and the medications, he became a drug abuser.

He went to Daytop Village for two years, as the Court is aware, but he was diagnosed there as depressed but never received any rehabilitation or counseling or therapy. They

diagnosed him as a depressed individual.

He has never had any therapy. He would certainly welcome it if given an opportunity while incarcerated, and that is what I think the president and former president were talking about. Not just throw him in, but help him when he's in, give him vocational training, just don't warehouse him.

There's a judge -- it might have been in this court -that I tried six cases in front of when the guidelines first
came into effect. He said, it's a waste of time, they're going
to change them eventually, probably in ten years. He was off
by a couple of years. But he said, all that is going to happen
is people are going to be warehoused in jail and continued to
be warehoused in jail. I don't think he will mind me telling
you, it was Judge Duffy. I have had six trials in front of
him. We know each other well. The day that they were
implemented, he was against what guideline sentencing stands
for. You sentence the individual, you don't sentence by the
number.

Obviously, I know the Court is not going to sentence by the number, but what I'm going to ask the Court for is a variance in the sentence to allow him to get below that 70 month threshold. He knows he has done wrong. But even while he was doing those wrongs, he was still being the caretaker to his parents that he was. He was still legitimately earning money. He was trying to be employed. The

labor market fell apart I guess for felons and manual laborers. It did for many people. There are lawyers who still haven't gotten a job who were out in the job market in 2009.

Obviously, what I'm saying is I think he needs a break. I know he needs a break. The question is what should it be.

And I know there is a need for deterrence, I know there is a need for public protection and punishment, but the individual is as important as the sentence itself.

You have read letters from loved ones. You have read his letter to your Honor. I think he deserves one last chance to live a decent life. We're not asking to let him go home tomorrow. We understand he's going to be incarcerated for some time, but what we're asking for is a prison sentence, if the Court would allow, of less than 70 months.

At the time his mother passed away, it was in December, right before Christmas, he was beside himself, couldn't understand what happened. She was, to his mind, wasn't that ill, and he couldn't understand what happened while he was in jail. He wanted to visit with his mother one last time. It was right before Christmas. And from the day he got back from the prison until maybe three months later — it is hard to talk to him, he is inconsolable, but one thing he brought to my attention every single time, he said, "Did you talk to the marshals that brought me there, did you let them

know how grateful I was?" That's not a bad guy. He still talks about how the Marshal Service took him out, brought him to a funeral home, maybe at night, early morning hours before it opened, and did him that favor a day or two before Christmas. He learned what decency is. He knows what decency is.

If the Court can find it, I would ask the Court to impose a sentence of less than 70 months.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the government.

MR. CAPONE: Thank you, your Honor.

I don't have very much to add beyond what is in USA Masimore's submission. This was a really serious crime. I don't need to spend much time on that. The Court has had this case for quite some time now. The defendant was involved in six of the burglaries. He was an active participant in them. He wasn't a lookout. He was someone who went in and burglarized pharmacies time and again in 2011, using apparently the knowledge of welding he learned. The PSR said he learned welding skills, along with some of the other co-defendants in this case, and it was a relatively sophisticated operation. Sure, he is not a mastermind, but he is not getting mastermind points, and he is not getting points for being a minor player. He was a burglar for that time in 2011.

We have just had a lengthy colloquy of his criminal

history. It is significant. The Court has given him some credit in terms of it being overstated, and I understand that. But in light of that and in light of the many convictions that he has had, some to jail terms that are not terribly less than the guidelines range that he is now facing but that have not deterred him, and when you also consider the parole violations, there is a real need for deterrence here. And so considering that and the gravity of the offense and the role of the defendant, I think a sentence in the guidelines range, which is at the low end, just under six years, is appropriate for this defendant and his role in the offense.

THE COURT: Okay. Defense counsel, I notice there are some people in the audience. Are those people here for Mr. Avalo? Can you give me a sense of who those people are?

MR. GREENFIELD: Yes, your Honor. His father,

brother, niece, and fiancee.

THE COURT: Okay. I have read the letters, and I have read Mr. Avalo's letter, as well. I will give Mr. Avalo a chance to address me if he would like. Before we do that, if defense counsel would like, if you wish to call one of those family members as a witness, I will let you do that, if you want to call one of them.

MR. GREENFIELD: May I have a moment, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

MR. GREENFIELD: Your Honor, if I might, his niece wrote the Court a letter. She just didn't anticipate anything like this, nor did I. She is not a public speaker, has never been in a circumstance like this. If we could, I would ask the Court to just make any inquiry or make it as easy for her to talk as possible. I couldn't, obviously, prepare her.

THE COURT: No, I don't believe it would be any sort

of grueling examination. She would simply take the witness stand, if she wishes. She doesn't have to. No one has to. If she wishes, she can take the witness stand. She will be sworn as a witness. She can say whatever she wants to say. If you have the questions, the government has questions, if she wishes to. I don't want to make anyone uncomfortable. I have read the letter. I have read all the letters. If she wants to, she can, but she is certainly not required to.

MR. GREENFIELD: She wishes to.

THE COURT: Let's have her take the witness stand and have her sworn.

GERLYN AVALO,

called as a witness by the Defendant,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your first and last name and spell it for the record.

THE WITNESS: Gerlyn Avalo. G-E-R-L-Y-N A-V-A-L-O.

MR. GREENFIELD: With the Court's approval, can I

1 stand here?

| Scand here:

THE COURT: That's fine.

Just please speak into the microphone. Go ahead, just tell me anything you would like to say.

THE WITNESS: I mean, Martin is all I have known as a father figure. He is not a bad person.

THE COURT: That's fine. Just take your time.

THE WITNESS: I understand, you know, we all make mistakes, but like we need him home. My grandmother died. My grandfather -- I can't do it by myself. He was everything to the family. He was the person who cared and provided for us. He was the person that, whenever we needed something, was there. He is all my grandfather really has.

I mean, you don't have to send him home tomorrow, but I mean we don't know what can happen. Like it is just me and my grandfather. My uncle is sick. My dad is never around. It is just not the same. And I'm not trying to blame him, but because of the fact that he wasn't home, my grandmother went through a lot of depression. She went through a lot of pain. She was very lonely. That was her baby. That was the son that she spoke to, the son that took her to all of her appointments. That was the son that helped her pay the rent. That was the son that gave her for her nails and for her hair. I know it might not be important for people, but it means something, and it is important. It is something like you want.

Like, we're not perfect. We all make mistakes. You live and learn. And he has learned.

Having to see your mom in a deathbed. You don't think that is quite a punishment? Whoever wished that on somebody? Having to sleep knowing that you didn't hug your mother, knowing that you're missing that, knowing that there was so much you still had to accomplish as a family and you're not there to be able to do that.

When I first went to college, I needed money, you know, because financial aid wasn't helping me out. He was the only person there for me. When I graduated high school. When I did all of my applications. My first job. He is all I know as a father figure. And he plays a very important role in my life. And he plays an important role in everyone's life in my family. My grandfather misses him. I miss him. My uncle.

I mean, I don't know what else to say to help people understand that that is all we have. It is not the easiest thing to sit here and try to convince people, like, hey, this guy's important. Family is family. And when somebody is there for you, it is hard to live without that person. It is not the same having a collect call versus having someone home. It is not the same waking up, you know, questioning yourself, hey, how are we going to pay this rent today or who is going to take me to my doctor's appointment, because the person who used to do that and used to help us out and was there for us is no

1 longer there.

He's not a bad person. He has one of the most amazing hearts. He is the most intelligent, loving man I know. And to me, in my eyes, he will always be a hero. He is always going to be my hero. He is always going to be the best man I've known. No matter what happens. No matter what the people say about him. He has impacted my life in the best way. He can impact somebody that's 22 years old.

That's, honestly, all I have to say.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Are there any questions by defense counsel?

MR. GREENFIELD: No, I have no questions.

THE COURT: Any questions by the government?

MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have a few questions.

First, I want to say I am sorry for your loss, loss of your grandmother, and I know this is difficult for you, and I'm certainly paying very close attention to everything you're saying.

I am curious, how has the family been able to pay the rent and pay the bills and everything? Because I know

Mr. Avalo has been in custody for some time already now. How has that been going? How are you able to do that now?

THE WITNESS: Well, I work two jobs, and it is very difficult for me. Also, you know, my dad, he's not the best

person to rely on, but, you know, now that my grandmother is gone, you need to understand it's either we pay the rent or we get it together or, you know, we're in the streets. So I'm doing the best I can, but I mean I also have schools and internships. And my dad doesn't live with us. So majority of the time I will stay with my grandfather, you know. To me, the most important thing is rent. And then, you know, things like internet or cable is just something, a privilege. If you don't have it, you don't have it. You know what I mean?

THE COURT: Have you visited Mr. Avalo while he's been in custody?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you talked to him about how his absence has been affecting the family?

THE WITNESS: Yes, he knows that. When he calls home, when I visit, you know, when I visit him. I don't have much time because I'm working, and, you know, I can't miss a day of pay to see him. It's hard. But, I mean, this is the world we live in.

THE COURT: Are you able to talk to him on the phone, as well?

THE WITNESS: When he calls the house, but he doesn't really have much time to talk.

THE COURT: When you have talked to him about how his absence has affected the family, how have those conversations

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gone? I don't need the specific details. How has he reacted?

2 THE WITNESS: He understands, and he sees, you know, 3 the effect that he has caused. And you know, I try not to make 4 him feel bad, but I mean, I also tell him, hey, maybe if your actions would have been different, probably my grandmother 5 would have still been here. You understand? Because it is not 6 7 something easy when you have a mother crying every day, feeling depressed, having to drink 20 pills at night, you know, sick, 8 9 you can't walk. It's a lot. And then he left us basically 10 alone. We don't have anybody to count on. He was all we had. 11 He was the support system. He was the person that did 12 everything for us.

THE COURT: Tell me a little bit about that, when you say he did everything for you.

THE WITNESS: Everything in the sense of, you know, if my grandfather needed to go to an appointment, he was there. If my grandmother needed her medicines, because sometimes her Medicaid didn't cover for them, he was there. When I had to apply to college, when I went from a community to a four-year, then I had to pay for application fees and I had to pay for books. He was there for us. It's a lot.

When our cell phones -- when, you know, when I only had one job and I was part-time and part-time they only give you 20 hours, and I didn't have money, you know, to eat for lunch, he was there. When we needed food in the house, he had

1 | i

it, you know. Rent. Light. Everything. Clothes. Taxis for appointments. He did everything. Medicines. Everything. I mean, maybe it is something that people think, hey, you know, well, why don't you all work, but it is just --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, what did you say?

know, why don't you apply to a better job that pays you more or do this, but it is not as easy to sit here and say, hey, I'm just going to apply to this job or I'm just going to do this when you're just adjusted to doing something and then all of a sudden you have to do this 180 and change the way you're living, change the time you actually spent. You can count the times and the days that I spent with my grandfather because I don't have the time. It is because I have to work so hard now to help him pay bills and to help him, you know, keep the apartment. The rent went up. The rent used to be 980. It went up to almost 1,300 bucks. I mean, and it is just little things like that. And we need to -- if we're not there helping each other out, what's going to happen to us?

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Any other questions by the government or the defense?

MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. You may have

a seat.

1 (Witness excused)

THE COURT: Mr. Avalo, I will give you an opportunity to address me if you would like. You can say anything that you want. You don't have to say anything. I have read your letter. If there is anything you would like to say, now is your opportunity.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

First I would like to apologize to the victims of the stores that I burglarized. I can imagine what it feels like for them to come to their place of business and find it broken into.

Now I would like to apologize to my family. For the last 15 years, I have been living in madness. I disgraced my family's last name.

I would like to apologize to my niece. She's made me so proud, but I haven't been there for her like I should have been. I know it must have been hard for her to lose somebody she looked up to, cared for, and to not have been there for her when she most needed me to be there for her, when she lost her grandmother, my mother.

To my brothers, I'm truly sorry to you. I wasn't there for you in your darkest hours when your demons were knocking on your door. For that, I am truly sorry for you, Rob.

To my girlfriend, she's been my angel on my shoulders.

Comes to visit me in prison. Been everything that I have needed in a woman. You have been the hard rock in my corner. For you, I'm grateful, but I am also sorry because I pushed my relationship to my back because of my imprisonments.

To my dad, to my father, I'm truly sorry because I know you in your heart believe that you failed me, but you didn't fail me. I failed you. I failed him. If I would have just listened to him and done things a little different and took his advice, I wouldn't find myself in these circumstances today that I find myself in.

For my mom, I have to live with the regret of knowing that if I was home she probably wouldn't have died, she probably wouldn't have been suffering or depressed. If I had been there to give her CPR or something, done something, but I wasn't. I got to live with that.

All I ask is that -- I know I made plenty of mistakes in my life. All I ask is the chance. Words can't describe my actions because actions speak louder than words. Also, I give you reasonable doubt to believe in me. So I can show you the chances, so I can show you my actions better than I can say. All I can ask is to be put at the mercy of this Court, put my trust in God, and ask for forgiveness.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Also, Mr. Avalo, on behalf of the Court, I wish to

extend my condolences, as well, to you on the loss of your mother, which I know has been very difficult in any event.

Certainly, while you've been incarcerated, I know that is very difficult for you.

I have a couple of questions for you, Mr. Avalo.

I have read all of the letters. I have listened very closely to everything you have said, everything your lawyer has said, everything counsel for the government has said, and everything that your niece very eloquently stated here in Court.

I truly believe that while you were out, you were in fact providing great support for your family, both emotionally, as well as financially.

One of the things that concerns me greatly is the need for deterrence in this case. This is, obviously, not the first time that you've been arrested. Your niece spoke very eloquently about all of the help that you provided the family. And while the emotional support, taking your family members to doctors' appointments, helping people fill out applications is very laudable, I am a little bit troubled by the financial support. That is certainly something that you should do, but I'm worried, because according to the presentence report, you really haven't been employed for a while, at least not legally. I am concerned that whenever you are released, those pressures to help provide support for your family and any other pressures

you might have might lead you back down the road to selling drugs or engaging in some other sort of illegal activity, to not only, perhaps, feed a drug habit but also provide that kind of financial support.

One of the things that I'm wondering about is if you've given any thought to what it is you're going to do when you get out. Your lawyer spoke earlier about statements made by various presidents, this president and a former president, about drug rehabilitation programs, vocational training and the like. You've, obviously, had opportunities at drug rehabilitation programs. You, obviously, have a set of skills already as a welder.

I'm just wondering if you can tell me what is your plan for when you get out, because if it is going to be again I'm just going to get out and get money however I can to help support my family, you're going to be in the same situation, if not a worse one. I know you have had time to think since you've been in custody, and I know it must have been difficult because of all of the stress from the loss of your mother, but tell me what your plan is, if there is a plan for when you get out.

THE DEFENDANT: My plans are simple. My plan is to go back to school and finish IT Tech, get back out into the welding community, because these school systems provide you with the necessary skills, and they put you in the work force

environment to help you build yourself, to help you move on in life.

I know one thing for sure. I can't go back to what I was doing, no matter what. This is insanity for me to keep seeking, keep doing the same things and expect different results. I have to give myself a chance. I'm almost 40. I would like to some day have my own family. I just don't want it to be from prison. I don't want to see myself in these walls ever again. I don't want to lose my dad while I'm in prison. I know that I have to work hard myself, and I have, and I'm continuing to work hard. And every day that goes by, I keep this motto in my mind, that how would my mom look upon me.

I know I have a lot of expectancies out of family members, but I expect more out of myself than they expect from me, whether it be financially or emotionally or physically.

There's plenty of things that I'm good at, but I think my craft is welding, my craft is construction. That has always been my craft. I'm pretty good with my hands. I plan to work on that every day more and more when I'm given an opportunity.

THE COURT: Thank you.

How long is the program that you would need to complete at ITT Tech?

THE DEFENDANT: It will be 18 to 24 months. And during all that time, you know -- I have been dealing with addiction a lot. How I have been dealing with it, one day at a

time. That's the motto of NA. That's what I have been attending every week. One day at a time. One day at a time. One minute at a time. One moment at a time. I can't go any further than that. And I will not be dealing with addiction anymore.

I know what I have to for myself. I know what I have to do for my family. I'm going to do it regardless. I'm going to make it. Because I can't fail no more. Failure is not an option for me. If I fail, I'd just rather be dead, I'm giving up on life.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Again, my concerns are multifold here. There is the concern, obviously, about your drug addiction, and I certainly understand what you're saying in terms of taking that one day at a time, but you have a criminal record. This is another conviction on your record. Your family needs you to help support them. They're probably going to still certainly need financial support from you. And in terms of the plan, in terms of your employment, that has to be more than just one day at a time, because if you're just going on hope and saying I hope I won't do the wrong thing, and when you get that request from your family members saying that they're struggling and they need help for the rent, while you're in school, I would like to know if you have thought about it, and maybe we can put this off and give you some more chance to think about this, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there needs to be some sort of plan for either how your family is going to be able to support themselves or how you're going to help support them in addition to yourself while you're in school, because if you're just talking about going to school and not getting a salary and your family needs that financial support, I'm extremely concerned as to what might happen.

So if you know, now tell me what is your plan for how you're going to support your family and support yourself while you're in school.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, one of the resources I used last time, how I got myself in construction work before was I worked as a messenger, as a foot messenger. The reason I did that, it It paid me. How I did it was I did it to the best was a job. of my ability. Everywhere I went, I went into different buildings, different places that a normal person can't go because I was delivering packages, and I would have to use my resources, I looked further on to better myself to get a better job everywhere I went. I'm willing to take the chances to go back to messenger services. I'm willing to work whatever needs to be done, whether it be working at McDonald's again, which I have done before, or going back to a messenger service, which I know that's where I plan to go to, the messenger services because they always need messengers, they always need somebody to take a package here and there or bike here and there. know the city pretty well, and I'm willing to do whatever I

F7gWava2 have to do lawfully to be within the laws, be a law-abiding citizen. (Continued on next page) 

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything else from the defense? Anything else from defense counsel?

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else from the government?

MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask defense counsel, and if Mr. Avala wants to answer this, that's fine as well. Let me just address this to Mr. Avala, if you feel you can answer it. Again, you've been to jail several times before. Can you tell me what is it that you feel that you've learned from each time that you went to jail? I guess, first of all, do you feel that you have learned anything from the other times you went to jail? Let's start off with the time in 2001. Do you feel that you have learned anything during that period of time? You spent 54 months in jail. And if so, what, what did you learn from that?

THE DEFENDANT: In 2001, what I learned was that associating myself to the wrong individuals can only bring bad determinations, bad decision making. I mean, a long time ago I wrote something and it went along the nature of if you roam with wolves you learn how to holler, but if you associate with eagles you learn to soar to great skies. That's what I always wanted, to associate myself with different individuals, to become a better person. When I was working for IBS Building

Services, I was associating myself with different individuals and thus staying on track. I lost my job, I stopped working 60 hours a week and went back to the neighborhood to hang out in front of the same places that I caught my first prior cases to, just hanging around negative individuals. That's what I learned from my first bid, and it just didn't make no sense.

This time around, you know, it's said that a man, when a man go through a harsh time in his life, when he loses somebody great to him, it causes a change in him. I lost the greatest person in my life, my best friend. I know, I know I have to change. I've been working every day implementing those changes, but my changes can't really take effect between these walls. They have to be shown. I can't tell you what my changes will be, I can only show you. And I'm just asking you to give me the chance so I could show you, better than I could tell you. I've hurt my family continuously.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you anything to add?

MR. GREENFIELD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel for the government.

MR. CAPONE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I've reviewed all of the letters, and again, I've listened very closely to everything Mr. Avala has said. I've listened closely to his counsel and his niece.

This is a very difficult decision. What I am still very concerned about, Mr. Avala, I'm not tremendously worried about

your intentions at this time, but I am still very concerned about what seems to me the lack of a fully articulated plan.

And what I'm really concerned about is, oftentimes, when people are in prison and when people go away to prison, they get forgotten, and despite the best wishes and intentions of family members, life gets in the way, and if there's not a plan for people to continue communicating with that family member in prison, they sort of just kind of get forgotten; the phone calls go from being once a week to once a month, to getting shorter, and there are more and more gaps in between, and I do think that the family support is critical in helping to keep you motivated.

I'd like to maybe hear more of a plan from you and perhaps your family members as to how they're going to support you while you're in custody. But more important, I'd like to get a clearer sense of a fully articulated plan. I understand when you say you have to show me and can't tell me. I understand that and I agree with that. But if you can't tell me, if you haven't thought of the plan, it's kind of hard to show the plan, and when life starts getting in the way, that's when things fall apart. It seems to me, from what you've said, and it seems to me from the information that I have before me that when things were at their best, you seemed to do OK. When you had this job and things were OK, you did all right, and what you're talking about now is even things best case

scenario.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'm concerned about is what happens if you can't get a messenger job when you get out. What is the backup plan? What happens if you get a messenger job and then get fired from that job? It can't simply be there's no other plan, I'm despondent now, I'm going to go back to what I did before. What happens? And again, I'm not sure how realistic it is. You say you fell back into going to that old neighborhood and hanging around those old places, and I understand sometimes there's a tendency for people to want to believe that they can simply avoid the old neighborhood. Maybe you can, but sometimes that's not really realistic because people have family members and friends there, so there needs to be some sort of plan as to how you can survive in that neighborhood without associating with those same kind of folks and without resorting to the activities you resorted to when things get That's what I'm concerned about, and I'm concerned that there seems to be right now a lack of that plan.

Here's what I propose to do, here's what I'd like to do. I'm going to continue to think about this and I think we should adjourn this for some time and that will give you a chance, Mr. Avala, to sit down and think about this. The crime here is serious. I feel that it's appropriate for me to sentence you to some time, but I'd like to have some sense of what your plan will be while you're in prison and what your

plan will be when you get out of prison, because I don't question your intentions, and I understand what you are saying and the sincerity with which you are saying these things to me. And I know that you mean the things that you are saying to me, and your niece certainly means the things that she's saying to me. But just honestly, you're saying these things, and again, I'm not saying you don't believe them, you're saying these things to me because you know that I have the power to decide what your sentence is going to be. Once I make that determination, I in many ways cease to be that important in your life. But your family is going to be important in your life throughout this, and I'm worried about how they're going to support you, you're going to support them, and what you're going to do when you get released.

Obviously, you're on supervised release and if you violate supervised release, you could end up back before me and I could sentence you to additional periods of custody. But on one hand, there are some expectations there that may not be particularly relevant. It's a pretty low threshold in terms of those expectations, just hoping that you don't get arrested again or don't violate the terms of supervised release, which sometimes can be onerous. But I really am concerned as to what your plan is going to be and what your family's plan is going to be, so I'm going to adjourn this for some time for you to think about this, because I'm sure, as you indicated, back when

you did a significant amount of time in 2001 and 2002, I'm sure you thought about what you did and you had the intentions of trying to do things the right way. But then when things go wrong in life, as they frequently do, without a backup plan, without a safety net, we tend to start resorting to those same old habits. I'm going to give you an opportunity to think about that while I continue to think about the appropriate sentence in this case.

Can we get a date, Tara, perhaps sometime in September?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Friday, September is the 18th, at 3:30.

THE COURT: Does that date and time work for everyone?

MR. GREENFIELD: Yes, Judge.

MR. CAPONE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Again, I want to thank the family members for being here today. I want to thank you for your letters. I want to thank Mr. Avala's niece for her testimony here. I've got a lot to think about. And, Mr. Avala, you've got a lot to think about as well. I'll see you later. Bye.

(Adjourned)